

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,706	LITTLE, BRENT E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Rojas	2874	

All Participants:

(1) Omar Rojas.

(2) Brent Little.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A.

Claims discussed:

13-18

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,819,853 B2 to Lam et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Examiner Rojas suggested to Mr. Little that claims 13-18 be cancelled from the application to avoid any potential issues of obviousness in view of the Lam patent, previously made of record. Examiner Rojas further informed Mr. Little that claims 13-18 may be re-filed in a continuation application. Mr. Little agreed that claims 13-18 be cancelled from the application.